April 6, 2021

Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244

Re: Rescinding the Interim Final Rule "Temporary FMAP Increase During the Public Health Emergency for COVID-19," codified at 42 C.F.R. § 433.400

Dear Acting Deputy Director Costello,

In the interest of ensuring timely access to quality health care during the public health emergency, the undersigned organizations respectfully request that you act immediately to rescind the Interim Final Rule, 42 C.F.R. § 433.400, which weakens the beneficiary protections in the Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) maintenance of effort (MOE) provision. The undersigned 162 organizations ask that you instead affirm that Section 6008(b)(3) of the FFCRA prohibits states from reducing the amount, duration, and scope of enrollees' Medicaid benefits until the end of the month in which the public health emergency ends.

Our request is urgent because states have already begun implementing cuts and reductions to Medicaid services and eligibility following the Interim Final Rule creating confusion and inconsistent policy changes across the country. A growing number of individuals are losing access to critical health care, including access to Medicaid home and community-based services that they depend on to remain in their homes and communities, and to avoid institutional congregate settings, during the pandemic.

The Interim Final Rule Weakens the FFCRA's Maintenance of Effort Provision

Section 6008 of the FFCRA offers states enhanced federal funding if they comply with certain requirements. One requirement is that states "provide that an individual who is enrolled for benefits" in a state's Medicaid program during the public health emergency "shall be treated as eligible for *such* benefits through the end of the month" in which the public health emergency ends. See FFCRA § 6008(b)(3) (emphasis added). Every state has chosen to claim the enhanced federal funding.

This phrasing affirms that the Families First MOE language protects much more than enrollment; it ensures that services available to enrollees at the start of the pandemic remain available to them as long as the state continues to receive the enhanced match. At a time when many doctors' offices are closed, access to case management is minimal, and enrollees are often left to navigate the health care system on their own, the MOE protects enrollees and ensures their access to services by maintaining the

"status quo." The only exceptions provided in the statute are for an individual who "requests a voluntary termination of eligibility or . . . ceases to be a resident of the State." *Id.*

Despite the clear language of the statute, on October 28, 2020, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) published an Interim Final Rule (IFR) that created several new exemptions from Section 6008(b)(3)'s protections that are not described in the statute and contrary to its intent. Specifically, the IFR permits states to reduce the amount, duration, and scope of benefits for, among others:

- Individuals who become eligible for Medicare and qualify for a Medicare Savings Program
- Individuals who are lawfully residing immigrants that reach adulthood or the end of their post-partum period; and
- Any individuals receiving optional services that a state decides to reduce or cut completely.

There is no statutory basis for these exemptions.

States are Implementing Cuts to Benefits Now

These new exceptions were immediately effective. Several states have already begun to impose benefit cuts or reductions, with other states poised to follow suit. Thus, even as the US continues to lose over 1,000 people per day to COVID-19, states are raising additional barriers to accessing health care and home and community-based services (HCBS) for the very populations that are most at risk during the pandemic.

Cuts to Benefits for Dual-Eligible Enrollees

The IFR expressly permits states to transition enrollees from full-scope Medicaid to Medicare Savings Program (MSP) eligibility groups without additional Medicaid benefits. MSPs provide enrollees with financial assistance to pay for Medicare out-of-pocket costs including Medicare premiums, co-pays, deductibles, and co-insurance. MSPs do not provide Medicaid coverage. Thus, in the midst of the pandemic, older adults who may have been relying on Medicaid coverage for HCBS, for dental care, for non-emergency medical transportation and for many other services that are critical to their well-being, can suddenly lose access. Further, individuals who only qualify for Specified Low-income Beneficiary (SLMB) or Qualifying Individual (QI) coverage could also be subject to Medicare deductibles and co-insurance, and thus would not even be receiving their basic medical care without cost. This is exactly the result that the statute was designed to prevent and specifically prohibits.

Permitting these eligibility changes could affect hundreds of thousands of people nationwide. In 2019, there were 3.6 million individuals eligible for MSPs but not full-scope Medicaid, and the category added an average 85,200 people every year from 2014-2019. Advocates have long pointed out the coverage cliff people face when they shift from Medicaid's benefit package to Medicare, and its effect on access to needed care. Congress, through its language in the Families First MOE, clearly intended for states to avoid these difficult coverage transitions during the public health emergency for older adults and people with disabilities who have elevated risk of serious COVID-19 complications. Yet, we are already seeing these shifts occur in some states.

Rhode Island, for example, after implementing changes permitted by the IFR, reported at a Medicaid Advisory Committee meeting that an estimated 530 individuals lost full-scope Medicaid after the state transitioned them to Medicare Premium Payment (the state's category for the MSP eligibility groups). Rhode Island has also been implementing benefit cuts to individuals in the following groups: Complex Medicaid, Modified Adjusted Gross Income, Community Medicaid (ABD), Long Term Services and Supports, and Medicare Premium Payment (MPP).

Pennsylvania, on December 7, 2020, issued an operations memorandum implementing the changes outlined in the IFR. Pennsylvania describes several of the changes as mandatory, for instance, explaining that individuals who become eligible for Medicare "must have their MA [medical assistance] changed if they become eligible for one of the following [Medicare Savings] programs."

The memorandum gives specific examples of individuals who will lose Medicaid benefits, for instance: "Mindy is a Medical Assistance for Workers with Disabilities (MAWD) recipient who turned age 65 and began receiving Medicare in November 2020. The [case worker] will allow her to transition to [Qualifying Individuals] based on CMS's new guidance."

Hundreds of thousands of beneficiaries risk losing benefits under this policy. Pennsylvania operates the Community Health Choices program, which is a mandatory managed care program for individuals who are dually eligible for Medicare. At the end of 2020, 377,621 Pennsylvanians were enrolled. Many of these individuals are now at risk for losing eligibility for HCBS, nursing facility services, and other Medicaid benefits due to Pennsylvania's change in policy. Among other things, that means shifting vulnerable

¹https://www.cms.gov/files/document/medicaremedicaiddualenrollmenteverenrolledtrendsdatab rief.pdf (calculation based on 3.6M x 5.3% average annual growth rate).

Leo Cuello, Nat'l Health Law Program, "Understanding the Medicare Coverage Cliff," (2014) https://healthlaw.org/resource/health-advocate-understanding-the-medicare-coverage-cliff/.
 CDC, CDC Data Tracker, Trends in Number of COVID-19 Cases and Deaths in the US Reported

³ CDC, CDC Data Tracker, *Trends in Number of COVID-19 Cases and Deaths in the US Reported to CDC, by State/Territory*, (last visited Mar. 22, 2021) https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends_dailytrendsdeaths.

enrollees to a less comprehensive prescription drug plan and compelling them to face Medicare cost sharing.

The memorandum's examples also highlight the startling inequities that the IFR provisions create for people becoming eligible for Medicare. If an individual is eligible for any MSP, the state can meet its MOE obligations by dropping all Medicaid coverage other than the Part B Medicare premium payment and, in the case of the QMB program, the Medicare co-insurance payment protection. But if the individual is not eligible for any MSP, then the state must retain the individual in the adult coverage group because there is no other Medicaid program for which the individual qualifies. Thus, lower income individuals who qualify for MSPs lose full-scope Medicaid coverage while those with higher incomes or more resources keep it.

Cuts to Benefits for Lawfully Residing Immigrants

The IFR also requires states to terminate coverage for lawfully residing children and pregnant people who age out or reach the end of their post-partum period. Once terminated these individuals may only receive coverage for services to treat an emergency medical condition. The lawfully residing eligibility categories are important sources of coverage for immigrants across the country. Thirty-five states have opted to cover children through this pathway and 25 cover pregnant people under this option.⁴ At the end of 2012, 62 percent of immigrant children had health coverage through Medicaid or CHIP in states that took this option.⁵

Exempting immigrants from the protections of the maintenance of effort provision is further exacerbating COVID-19's disparate effect on immigrant communities.⁶

As with the MSP transitions, states are already implementing these benefit cuts. For instance, **Pennsylvania's** December 7, 2020, memorandum outlines numerous categories of individuals whose medical assistance can be reduced or terminated, including "for lawfully residing non-citizens turning age 21 and pregnant women at the end of the postpartum period" and those the state considers not "validly enrolled."

⁴ *Medicaid/CHIP Coverage of Lawfully-Residing Immigrant Children and Pregnant Women*, Henry J. Kaiser Fam. Found. (Jan. 1, 2019), https://www.kff.org/health-reform/state-indicator/medicaid-chip-coverageof-lawfully-residing-immigrant-children-and-pregnant-women.

⁵ *See* Georgetown Univ. Health Policy Inst., Ctr. For Children & Families, "Health Coverage for Lawfully Residing Children." (2018) https://ccf.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/ichia fact sheet.pdf.

⁶ Eva Clark et al, *Disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on immigrant communities in the United States*, 14 PLoS NEGL. TROP. DIS. E0008484, (July 2020), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7357736/.

Cuts to Benefits

The IFR permits states to cut or reduce any benefits that states are given the option to cover in their Medicaid programs, including Home and Community-Based Services. We have heard from several states that are planning to implement significant cuts.

Missouri, for example, has proposed amendments to its 1915(c) Home and Community Based Medicaid Waivers that would modify the state's eligibility criteria necessary to establish nursing facility level of care (LOC).⁷ The proposed HCBS eligibility LOC changes will terminate tens of thousands of people from HCBS eligibility, which may force many individuals into institutional care settings. One report on an earlier version of the state's proposed LOC tool suggested that almost one in five people currently receiving HCBS waiver services would lose eligibility for these services.⁸ Implementing these draconian cuts in the midst of the public health emergency would cause exactly the widespread harm that the statute was meant to prevent.

Maryland, for example, had proposed to decrease the number of slots under their 1915(c) waiver for older adults and people with disabilities from the current 6,348 authorized slots to 3,500 slots despite having a registry of over 20,500 individuals waiting to receive services.⁹ After strong stakeholder opposition, the state has indicated it would amend the proposal, but the state still plans to decrease the total number of slots available to 5,489 in 2022.

Other states, such as **Wyoming**, whose economies were in recession before the pandemic hit are considering major cuts to health programs including HCBS. For example, the Wyoming Department of Health was instructed to cut its budget by almost 14%.¹⁰ The proposed budget provided to the legislature includes cuts to HCBS

⁷ See MO HealthNet Alerts & Public Notices, 01/12/21 "Public Notice regarding the submission of the Adult Day Care Waiver, Aged and Disabled Waiver, AIDS Waiver, Brain Injury Waiver, Independent Living Waiver and Structured Family Caregiving Waiver LOC amendments," https://dss.mo.gov/mhd/alerts~public-notices.htm

⁸ Mercer, Missouri Department of Health & Human Services: Nursing Facility Level of Care Algorithm Analysis 3 (Jan. 4, 2020).

⁹ Maryland Application for a 1915(c) Home and Community Based Options Waiver, dated January 12, 2021, available at

https://mmcp.health.maryland.gov/waiverprograms/SiteAssets/Pages/Home/Community%20Options%20Waiver%20renewal%202021%2001.28.21%20REDLINE.pdf

¹⁰ See Wyo. Dept. of Health, "Department of Health Describes Difficult Budget Reductions," (Aug. 26, 2020),

https://health.wyo.gov/department-of-health-describes-difficult-budget-reductions/; Better Wyo., "Elderly, disabled, suffering: Proposed Wyoming healthcare cuts will hurt struggling people across the state," (Feb. 9, 2021), <a href="https://betterwyo.org/2021/02/09/elderly-disabled-suffering-proposed-wyoming-healthcare-cuts-will-hurt-struggling-people-across-the-state/#:~:text=The%20state%20budget%20proposed%20by,14%20percent%20of%20its%20

programs, including reducing individual budget amounts and provider reimbursement, and freezing new enrollment of individuals on waiting lists.¹¹ On top of this, Wyoming has also ended its PACE program and proposed severely reducing funding for its Wyoming Home Services program. These cuts will increase the need for Medicaid HCBS waiver services, but those services are at risk of being scaled back too.

Florida is also proposing cuts to critical services. A recent proposed bill would eliminate coverage for 19 and 20 year olds and eliminate dental, vision, hearing, podiatric and chiropractic services for adults.¹²

Conclusion

Maintenance of effort provisions to protect eligibility methodologies have been included in previous legislation, including the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA)--the legislative response to the Great Recession. Historically, under these provisions, state agencies have prioritized administering medical assistance during a time of national crisis. Congress's additional strong protections in § 6008(b)(3) along with substantial financial incentives signal a clear intent to secure continued access to covered services for the duration of this public health emergency. The Interim Final Rule guts the statutory protections, and subsequent state responses are already harming enrollees. These harms are ongoing, with more cuts imminent, and more individuals harmed every day. Accordingly, we urge you to take swift action to rescind 42 C.F.R. § 433.400 and to reaffirm the MOE protections Congress clearly intended with the passage of Families First.

If you have questions or would like to discuss in further detail please contact Sarah Grusin at NHeLP grusin@healthlaw.org and Amber Christ, Justice in Aging, achrist@justiceinaging.org.

Sincerely,

ADAP Advocacy Association
Advocates for Youth
AfricanAmericansAgainstAlzheimer's
AIDS Action Baltimore
AIDS Alabama
AIDS Foundation Chicago

<u>budget.&text=The%20rejection%20of%20federal%20Medicaid,a%20billion%20dollars%20to%20date.</u>

¹¹ See, e.g., Wyo. Dept. of Health, 2021-2022 Step Two COVID 19 Reductions, at 120-124 https://www.wyoleg.gov/InterimCommittee/2020/02-20201214048-DepartmentofHealth.pdf.

¹² See Fla. Senate, Proposed Bill SBP 2518, https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2021/2518/BillText/pb/PDF.

Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program

Allergy & Asthma Network

American Academy of Pediatrics

American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

American Association on Health and Disability

American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD)

American Council of the Blind

American Heart Association

American Lung Association

American Network of Community Options and Resources (ANCOR)

American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA)

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association

American Therapeutic Recreation Association

Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services (ACCESS)

Arizona Center for Disability Law

Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum

Asian Pacific American Labor Alliance, AFL-CIO

Asian Pacific Institute on Gender-Based Violence

Asian Resources, Inc.

Association of Asian Pacific Community Health Organizations (AAPCHO)

Autistic Self Advocacy Network

Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law

Brain Injury Association of America

CAEAR Coalition

Cancer Support Community

Cardozo Bet Tzedek Legal Services

Center for Civil Justice

Center for Elder Law & Justice

Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP)

Center for LGBTQ Economic Advancement & Research (CLEAR)

Center for Medicare Advocacy

Center for Public Representation

CenterLink: The Community of LGBT Centers

Charlotte Center for Legal Advocacy

Chronic Disease Coalition

Coalition on Human Needs

Colorado Center on Law and Policy

CommunicationFIRST

Community Access National Network (CANN)

Community Legal Aid Society Inc.

Community Legal Services of Philadelphia

Consumers for Affordable Health Care

CPCA

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

Disability Law Center of Alaska

Disability Law Center of Utah

Disability Law Colorado

Disability Rights California

Disability Rights Center - NH

Disability Rights Center of Kansas

Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund (DREDF)

Disability Rights Florida

Disability Rights Maine

Disability Rights Maryland

Disability Rights New Jersey

Disability Rights New York

Disability Rights Oregon

Disability Rights Pennsylvania

Disability Rights South Carolina

Disability Rights Tennessee

Disability Rights Vermont

Easterseals

Empire Justice Center

End the Wait Kansas

Epilepsy Foundation

Equality California

Families USA

Family Voices

First Focus on Children

Florida Health Justice Project

Florida Policy Institute

Georgia Advocacy Office

Georgians for a Healthy Future

Greater Boston Legal Services

Health Care For All - Massachusetts

Health Care Voices

Health Law Advocates

Hemophilia Federation of America

Illinois Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights

Intermountain Fair Housing Council, Inc.

International Association of Providers of AIDS Care

JASA Legal Services for Elder Justice

Justice in Aging

Kentucky Voices for Health

Lakeshore Foundation

LatinosAgainstAlzheimer's

Legal Aid Justice Center, Virginia

Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia

Legal Council for Health Justice

Long Term Care Community Coalition

Massachusetts Law Reform Institute

Maternal and Child Health Access

Medicaid Matters New York

Medicare Rights Center

Mississippi Center for Justice

MSBA Elder Law and Disability Rights Section

Muscular Dystrophy Association

National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys

National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys, MD/DC Chapter

National Adult Day Services Association (NADSA)

National Alliance on Mental Illness

National Association of Area Agencies on Aging (n4a)

National Association of Councils on Developmental Disabilities

National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners

National Association of Social Workers (NASW)

National Association of State Head Injury Administrators

National Center for Law and Economic Justice

National Center for Parent Leadership, Advocacy, and Community Empowerment (National PLACE)

National Coalition for Latinxs with Disabilities

National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care

National Consumers League

National Council of Jewish Women

National Council on Aging

National Health Law Program

National Immigration Law Center

National Indian Council on Aging

National Multiple Sclerosis Society

National Organization for Rare Disorders

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Patient Advocate Foundation

National Viral Hepatitis Roundtable

National Working Positive Coalition

Nebraska Appleseed

New York Legal Assistance Group (NYLAG)

New York StateWide Senior Action Council

NH Legal Assistance

Northeast Justice Center

Northwest Health Law Advocates

Office of the Health Care Advocate, Vermont Legal Aid

Partnership for America's Children

Pennsylvania Health Law Project (PHLP)

Personal Disability Consulting, Inc.

Physicians for Reproductive Health

Planned Parenthood Federation of America

Power to Decide

Public Justice Center

RCHN Community Health Foundation

RESULTS

SC Appleseed Legal Justice Center

Senior Citizens' Law Office, Inc.

Silver State Equality-Nevada

Southwest Women's Law Center

SPAN Parent Advocacy Network (SPAN)

TASH

Tennessee Health Care Campaign

Tennessee Justice Center

The AIDS Institute

The Arc

The Partnership for Inclusive Disaster Strategies

The Workers Circle

Union for Reform Judaism

University of Mississippi School of Law

Virginia Poverty Law Center

Western Center on Law & Poverty

Whitman-Walker Institute

William E. Morris Institute for Justice (Arizona)